18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EOD THE MODTHEDM DISTRICT OF CALLEODMIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	RICHTEK TECHNOLOGY No. C 09-05659 WHA
11	CORPORATION and RICHTEK USA, INC.,
12	Plaintiffs, NOTICE REGARDING
13	v. RESPONSES TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER
14	UPI SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	Having considered all submissions filed in manages to the January 2 and at to al

Having considered all submissions filed in response to the January 3 order to show cause why this action should not be stayed pending the outcome of the related Taiwanese actions, the undersigned judge has not yet determined to stay the action. In the meantime, the parties are directed to proceed with discovery and all other procedural obligations.

IT IS SO NOTICED.

Dated: January 7, 2011.

ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE